

# Parallelization of Atomic Image Reconstruction from X-ray Fluorescence Holograms with XcalableMP

Atsushi Kubota<sup>1</sup> Tomohiro Matsushita<sup>2</sup> Naohisa Happo<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hiroshima City University <sup>2</sup>Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI)



## Outline

- What is "X-ray Fluorescence Holography"?
- Parallelization of 3D atomic image reconstruction
- Evaluation on PC cluster
- Conclusion







JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas

## "3D Active-Site Science"

2014-2019

Conventional techniques such as X-ray diffraction

 $\rightarrow$  Global structure analysis

Our Approach

From http://www.3d-activesite.jp

In many functional materials, the local structure of the "**dopant**," "**hetero-interface**," and "**nanomaterial**," i.e., the "**Active-Sites**" in the parent material play a vital role in the functional expression of the material

**3D Atomic Resolution Imaging** 

- Fluorescent X-rays and Photoelectron Holography
- Surface/Interface Holography
- Nano-structure Imaging



### **Experiment : Inverse Mode of X-ray Fluorescence Holography**



Intensity of X-ray fluorescence is measured while rotating samples







#### Spring-8 http://www.spring8.or.jp

Photon Factory, High energy accelerator http://www2.kek.jp/imss/pf/



大学共同利用機関法人 高エネルギー加速器研究機構









### Analysis Procedure of Atomic Image Reconstruction from X-ray Fluorescence Holograms



Long time required for Reconstruction on computer

Performance improvement needed



## A Hologram after removel of background waves





## Holograms after completion of sphere data



18500 eV









4Å





## **3D Atomic Image Reconstruction by Discrete Fourier Transform**

Detection of X-ray Fluorescence Hologram Position on the sphere *l* distance apart from sample :  $\vec{k}(l,\theta,\varphi)$ Intensity of X-ray fluorescence :  $\vec{I}_{\lambda}(\theta,\varphi)$ 

Position of atom A: O(0,0,0) Position of atom B:  $\vec{r}(x,y,z)$ 

Caluculation of image  $\chi$  at  $\vec{r}(x,y,z)$ 

Parallelizable for *X*, *Y*, *Z* Sum for  $\theta$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\lambda$ 

$$\chi(x, y, z) = -\sum_{\theta} \sum_{\varphi} \sum_{\lambda} I_{\lambda}(\theta, \varphi) \exp(i2\pi(|\vec{r}| - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})/\lambda) \sin \theta w(\lambda)$$

Superimpose multiple holograms obtained by several input X-rays with different wave lengths  $\lambda \rightarrow Reduction of ghost image$  13



- Hard to apply FFT to Reconstruction(DFT)
   Input data on the polar coordinate system
   Output image on the rectangular coordinate system
- 2D atomic image reconstruction by OpenMP
- 3D atomic image reconstruction by hybrid parallelization of XcalableMP and OpenMP



## Programming Languages / Libraries for Parallel Processing





## Parallelization of 2D Atomic Image Reconstruction by OpenMP

- Loop interchange
  - Loop nest of  $x, y, \theta, \varphi, \lambda$  to  $\lambda, x, y, \theta, \varphi$
  - Expect
    - Improvement of cache hit ratio
    - SIMDization(such as Intel SSE) by increased number of iterations of the inner most loop
- Table look up of trigonometric function
  - Some calls of trigonometric functions are replaced with references of arrays calculated before entering loop
  - Some trigonometric calls remain in the kernel loop nest
- Parallelize x loop by OpenMP



```
/* Trigonometric function calls in the loop*/
for (th=0;th<NTH;th++) {
  for (phi=0;phi<NPHI;phi++) {
    ... cos (phi)
    ... sin (phi)
  }
}</pre>
```

/\* Save trigonometric function values \*/

for (phi=0;phi<NPHI;phi++) {
 ctab[phi]=cos(phi);
 stab[phi]=sin(phi);</pre>



/\* Table loop-up in the loop \*/

for(th=0;th<NTH;th++) {
 for(phi=0;phi<NPHI;phi++) {
 ... ctab[phi]
 ... stab[th]</pre>



Parallelization of 3D Atomic Image Reconstruction by XcalableMP

- The 6 nests of loop  $\lambda$ , *z*, *x*, *y*,  $\theta$ ,  $\varphi$ 
  - Z dimension is added to 2D reconstruction
- Table loop-up of trigonometric function calls
  - same as 2D reconstruction
- Parallelize z loop by XcalableMP





## Data Declarations in XcalableMP

/\* Input hologram in0 is duplicated in the all nodes \*/

```
double in0[NTH][NPHI];
```

/\* Output image out1 is distributed among nodes \*/

```
double out1[NZ][NX][NY];
#pragma xmp nodes p(8)
#pragma xmp template t(NZ)
#pragma xmp distribute t(block) onto p
#pragma xmp align out1[z][*][*] with t(z)
```

/\* Output image out2 is duplicatged in the all nodes \*/

double out2[NZ][NX][NY];



## Parallelized Nest of Loops of 3D Atomic Image Reconstruction





## File I/O and Aggregation of Data

/\* Read input hologram in0 from file on the all nodes \*/

```
fread(in_file, in0,...);
```

```
/* Parallelized Kernel DFT Loop */
```

/\* Aggregate distributed data out1 to out2 \*/

```
#pragma xmp gmove
out2[:][:][:] = out1[:][:];
```

/\* Write atomic image out2 only on node 1 \*/

```
if(xmp_node_num()==1) {
   fwrite(out_file, out2,...);
}
```

Aggregation to avoid writing data to single file by multiple nodes



## Performance Evaluation: I/O Data

- Input data file
  - Sample: PZT
  - Incident angle1  $\,\,\theta{=}1^\circ\,\,\,{\sim}\,\,179^\circ\,$  , 1  $^\circ\,\,$  resolution
  - Incident angle 2  $\phi=0^{\circ} \sim 359^{\circ}$  , 1° resolution
  - Record measured intencity of X-ray fluorescence
  - Energy of incident X-ray
    - 18,500 eV 23,500 eV
    - 250 eV step, 21 levels of energy
- Output data file
  - 2D atomic image: 192 × 192
  - 3D atomic image: 192 × 192 × 192
  - -9.6Å ~ 9.6Å, 0.1Å resolution



#### PC cluster used for reconstruction

	PC cluster
The number of nodes	8
The number of sockets / node	2
CPU	Xeon X5660 2.8GHz
The number of cores (threads)	6 cores (6threads, HT off)
The total number of cores	96
Cache size	L3:12MB
Inter-node network	4Gbps(InfiniBand DDR)
XcalableMP Compiler	1.2.2
Compiler, Optimization	Intel Compiler 18.0.1–O3 - xHOST
OS	Linux (CentOS 6.3)



#### Sample: PZT









### Break Down of Execution time

#### 3D Image (NZ,NX,NY)=(**<u>192</u>**,192,192)

		(#Nodes ×					File
Total		#Threads/	Execution		File Input	Aggregation	Output
threads		Node)	Time (sec.)	Speedup	(sec.)	(sec.)	(sec.)
	8	(8 × 1)	21,683.076	8.000	0.781	0.176	9.772
	48	$(8 \times 6)$	3,623.352	47.874	0.721	0.163	9.731
	96	(8 × 12)	1,840.942	94.226	0.767	0.174	9.701



Estimated 2days with single thread

#### 3D Image (NZ,NX,NY)=(<u>8</u>,192,192)

	(#Nodes ×					File
	#Threads/	Execution		File Input	Aggregation	Output
Total thread	Node)	Time (sec.)	Speedup	(sec.)	(sec.)	(sec.)
1	(1 × 1)	7,214.325	1.000	0.745	0.007	0.301
8	(8×1)	923.830	7.809	0.473	0.009	0.303
12	(1×12)	603.962	11.945	0.459	0.005	0.310
48	$(8 \times 6)$	151.574	47.596	0.458	0.008	0.303
96	(8×12)	76.576	94.211	0.455	0.009	0.310

From 2 hours To 1min.17sec.



### Compare XcalableMP with MPI

Parallelization	Execution Time (sec.)	Modified/Inserted Lines of Code
XcalableMP	1,840.942	32
MPI	1,817.042	53

3D Image (NZ,NX,NY)=(192,192,192)

96 threads execution

Higher productivity by XcalableMP than MPI w/o sacrificing performance





### Conclusion

Hybrid parallelization of 3D atomic image reconstruction from X-ray fluorescence holograms with XcalableMP and OpenMP

- PC Cluster (8nodes, 96cores in total)
  - Grid size of 3D Atomic Image (8,192,192)
    - 94x speedup by 96 threads
  - Grid size of 3D Atomic Image (192,192,192)
    - 30 min. (1,841 sec.) with 96 threads
    - Estimated 2days with single core
- Inter-node parallelization with XcalableMP
- Intra-node parallelization with OpenMP
- High productivity and performance by XcalableMP



#### Future work

Execution of 3D Atomic Image Reconstruction on supercomputers such as GPU cluster (Ito) at Kyushu University

