



Overview of XcalableMP project:

a next generation parallel language framework for Petascale systems and Experience from HPF

> Mitsuhisa Sato University of Tsukuba

Agenda

- Lesson learned from HPF
 - Think about MPI ...
 - History of HPF in Japan
- XcalableMP : directive-based language eXtension for Scalable and performance-tunable Parallel Programming
 - Motivation
 - Concept and model
 - Some examples

Message Passing Model (MPI)

- Message passing model was the dominant programming model in the past.
 Yes.
- Message passing is the dominant programming model today.
 ... Unfortunately, yes...
- Will OpenMP be a programming model for future system?
 - OpenMP is only for shared memory model.
- Are programmers satisfied with MPI?
 - yes...? Many programmers writes MPI.
- Is MPI enough for parallelizing scientific parallel programs?
- Application programmer's concern is to get their answers faster!!
 - Automatic parallelizing compiler is the best, but ... many problems remain.
- Why was MPI accepted and so successful?
 - Portability and Education, and more ...?

XMP project

3

The rise and fall of High Performance Fortran in Japan ~ Lessons learned from HPF ~

(by Sakagami@NIFS and Murai@NEC)

- (A similar retrospective paper was published by Prof. Ken Kennedy and Zima)
- Background of HPF (in 1992-1997, 1st draft)
 - MPI (message passing model) was (still now) an obstacle for programming distributed memory systems.
 - Debugging MPI code is not easy, and update/modification of MPI program forces a tough work for application people.
 - If MPI is only a solution to parallel machine, nobody wants to use parallel machines. (EP is ok, but ...)
 - There was a great demand for parallel programming languages!
 - Application people want just easy parallel programming environment with reasonable (not necessarily perfect) performance.
 - OpenMP is just for shared memory systems.
 - Not practical alternative solutions. (Now, how about HPCS languages?!)

HPF history in Japan

- Japanese supercomputer venders were interested in HPF and developed HPF compiler on their systems.
- NEC has been supporting HPF for Earth Simulator System.
- Many workshops: HPF Users Group Meeting (HUG from 1996-2000), HFP intl. workshop (in Japan, 2002 and 2005)
- Japan HPF promotion consortium was organized by NEC, Hitatchi, Fujitsu ...
 HPF/JA proposal
- Still survive in Japan, supported by Japan HPF promotion consortium
- Compiler Availability
 - HPF/ES (HPF+HPF/JA+some extension for Earth Simulator)
 - HPF/SX, HPF/VPP, HPF/ES for PC clusters, fhpf (free software distributed by HPF consortium)

XMP project

"Pitfalls" and Lessons learned from HPF (1)

- "Ideal" design policy of HPF
 - A user gives a small information such as data distribution and parallelism.
 - The compiler generates "good" communication and work-sharing automatically.
 - By ignoring directives, parallelized code can be considered as the original sequential code.
 - Large specifications were included to satisfy "theoretical" completeness of the language model.

Lesson : <u>"Don't give too much expectation to users</u> <u>which the technology could not meet."</u>

- This "ideal" design policy had generated a great "expectation" from users! But, the reality was not ...
- Initial (reference) implementation is important to attract people.
 - No reference implementation of HPF like MPICH in MPI standard.

"Pitfalls" and Lessons learned from HPF (2)

- The base language of HPF was "immature" F90
 - A bad thing was that at the moment of HPF announced (mid 90's), F90 was still immature.
 - Many application people had to rewrite programs in F90 in order to use HPF
 - Re-write from F77 to F90 was not easy work.
 - No C/C++

Lesson :<u>"Application people don't want to rewrite their</u> programs. They are very conservative"

- Sometimes, they complained that "I re-wrote my program by spending a lot time, but the performance was not good!"
- The reason why the performance of HPF was not so good was sometimes due to the immaturity of F90 implementation.

XMP project

"Pitfalls" and Lessons learned from HPF (3)

- No explicit mean for performance tuning .
 - Everything depends on compiler optimization.
 - Users can specify more detail directives, but no information how much performance improvement will be obtained by additional informations
 - INDEPENDENT for parallel loop
 - PROCESSOR + DISTRIBUTE
 - ON HOME
 - The performance is too much dependent on the compiler quality, resulting in "incompatibility" due to compilers.

Lesson :<u>"Specification must be clear. Programmers want</u> to know what happens by giving directives"

• The way for tuning performance should be provided.

"Petascale" Parallel language design working group

Objectives

- Making a draft on "petascale" parallel language for "standard" parallel programming
- To propose the draft to "world-wide" community as "standard"
- Members
 - Academia: M. Sato, T. Boku (compiler and system, U. Tsukuba), K. Nakajima (app. and programming, U. Tokyo), Nanri (system, Kyusyu U.), Okabe (HPF, Kyoto U.)
 - Research Lab.: Watanabe and Yokokawa (RIKEN), Sakagami (app. and HPF, NIFS), Matsuo (app., JAXA), Uehara (app., JAMSTEC/ES)
 - Industries: Iwashita and Hotta (HPF and XPFortran, Fujitsu), Murai and Seo (HPF, NEC), Anzaki and Negishi (Hitachi)
- More than 10 WG meetings have been held (Dec. 13/2007 for kick-off)
- Funding for development
 - E-science project : "Seamless and Highly-productive Parallel Programming Environment for High-performance computing" project funded by Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, JAPAN.
 - Project PI: Yutaka Ishiakwa, co-PI: Sato and Nakashima(Kyoto), PO: Prof. Oyanagi
 - Project Period: 2008/Oct to 2012/Mar (3.5 years)

XMP project

Requirements of "petascale" language

- Performance
 - The user can achieve performance "equivalent to in MPI"
 - More than MPI one-sided communication (remote memory copy)
- Expressiveness
 - The user can express parallelism "equivalent in MPI" in easier way.
 - Task parallelism for multi-physics
- Optimizability
 - Structured description of parallelism for analysis and optimization
 - Should have some mechanism to map to hardware network topology
- Education cost
 - For non-CS people, it should be not necessarily new, but practical





XcalableMP : directive-based language eXtension for Scalable and performance-tunable Parallel Programming

Directive-based language extensions for familiar languages F90/C/C++

- To reduce code-rewriting and educational costs.
- "Scalable" for Distributed Memory Programming
 - SPMD as a basic execution model
 - A thread starts execution in each node independently (as in MPI).
 - Duplicated execution if no directive specified.
 - MIMD for Task parallelism
- "performance tunable" for explicit communication and synchronization.
 - Work-sharing and communication occurs when directives are encountered
 - All actions are taken by directives for being "easy-to-understand" in performance tuning (different from HPF)

XMP project



11

Overview of XcalableMP

- XMP supports typical parallelization based on the data parallel paradigm and work sharing under "global view"
 - An original sequential code can be parallelized with **directives**, like OpenMP.
- XMP also includes CAF-like PGAS (Partitioned Global Address Space) feature as "local view" programming.





The same code written in MPI

```
int array[YMAX][XMAX];
```

```
main(int argc, char**argv){
    int i,j,res,temp_res, dx,llimit,ulimit,size,rank;
```

```
MPI_Init(argc, argv);
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &size);
dx = YMAX/size;
Ilimit = rank * dx;
if(rank != (size - 1)) ulimit = Ilimit + dx;
else ulimit = YMAX;
```

```
temp_res = 0;
for(i = llimit; i < ulimit; i++)
for(j = 0; j < 10; j++){
    array[i][j] = func(i, j);
    temp_res += array[i][j];
}
```

```
MPI_Allreduce(&temp_res, &res, 1, MPI_INT, MPI_SUM, MPI_COMM_WORLD); MPI_Finalize();
```

}

Nodes, templates and data/loop distributions

- Idea inherited from HPF
- Node is an abstraction of processor and memory in distributed memory environment.
 #pragma xmp nodes p(32)
- Template is used as a dummy array distributed on nodes



Array data distribution

- The following directives specify a data distribution among nodes
 - #pragma xmp nodes p(*)
 - #pragma xmp template T(0:15)
 - #pragma xmp distribute T(block) on p
 - #pragma xmp align array[i] to T(i)





Data synchronization of array (shadow)

Exchange data only on "shadow" (sleeve) region





XcalableMP example (Laplace, global view)



Data synchronization of array (full shadow)

- Full shadow specifies whole data replicated in all nodes
 - #pragma xmp shadow array[*]
- reflect operation to distribute data to every nodes
 - #pragma reflect array
 - Execute communication to get data assigned to other nodes



XcalableMP example (NPB CG, global view)



XcalableMP Global view directives

- Execution only master node
 - #pragma xmp block on master
- Broadcast from master node
 - #pragma xmp bcast (var)
- Barrier/Reduction
 - #pragma xmp reduction (op: var)
 - #pragma xmp barrier
- Global data move directives for collective comm./get/put
- Task parallelism
 - #pragma xmp task on node-set

XcalableMP Local view directives

- XcalableMP also includes CAF-like PGAS (Partitioned Global Address Space) feature as "local view" programming.
 - The basic execution model of XcalableMP is SPMD
 - Each node executes the program independently on local data if no directive
 - We adopt Co-Array as our PGAS feature.
 - In C language, we propose array section construct.
 - Can be useful to optimize the communication
 - Support alias Global view to Local view



A[:] = B[:]:[10];

Array section in C

- For flexibility and extensibility, the execution model allows combining with explicit MPI coding for more complicated and tuned parallel codes & libraries.
 - Need to interface to MPI at low level to allows the programmer to use MPI for optimization
 - It can be useful to program for large-scale parallel machine.
- For multi-core and SMP clusters, OpenMP directives can be combined into XcalableMP for thread programming inside each node for hybrid programming.

XMP project



Final Remarks

- What's about HPCS languages?
 - If Java was accepted by HPC community and app people,...
 - Why were Parallel O-O Languages not accepted?
- Why MPI accepted and so successful?
 - And OpenMP ...
- Why HPF was failed?
 - Cost, ... Education ... development ... maintain codes ...
- Is it a technical problem?
- Sure, it is. But, much more...

XMP project

Summary



25

http://www.xcalablemp.org

- Our objective of "language working group" is to design "standard" parallel programming language for petascale distributed memory systems
 - High productivity for distributed memory parallel programming
 - Not just for research, but collecting ideas for "standard"
 - Distributed memory programming "better than MPI" !!!
- XcalableMP project: status and schedule
 - 1Q/09 first draft of XcalableMP specification
 - 2Q/09 release, C language version
 - 3Q/09 Fortran version (for SC09 HPC Challenge!)
 - Ask the international community for review of the specification
- Features for the next
 - IO
 - Fault tolerant
 - Others ...

Thank you for your attention!!!

XcalableMP is under design. Any comments and contributions will be very welcome!

Q & A?

XMP project